

1 THE HONORABLE JOHN C. COUGHENOUR  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6

7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
8 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
9 AT SEATTLE

10 MATTHEW JAMES LINDSAY,

11 Plaintiff,

12 v.  
13 Defendants.

CASE NO. C17-1818-JCC

MINUTE ORDER

14  
15 DONALD J. TRUMP, *et al.*,

16 The following Minute Order is made by direction of the Court, the Honorable John C.  
17 Coughenour, United States District Judge:

18 This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration (Dkt. No.  
19 10) of the Court's order dismissing Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice (Dkt. No. 8). Under  
20 the Local Civil Rules, “[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored.” LCR 7(h)(1). “The court  
21 will ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior  
22 ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to its  
attention earlier with reasonable diligence.” *Id.* Plaintiff has not met this standard.

23 Plaintiff filed his complaint *in forma pauperis*. (Dkt. No. 2.) Pursuant to 28  
24 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B), the Court reviewed Plaintiff's complaint and determined it failed to state  
25 a claim upon which relief could be granted. (Dkt. No. 4.) On December 7, 2017, The Court  
26 directed Plaintiff to file an amended complaint within 21 days that fixed the noted deficiencies.

1 (Id.)

2 On December 11, 2017, Plaintiff filed an amended complaint. (Dkt. No. 6.) The Court  
3 reviewed the complaint and again determined that it failed to state a claim upon which relief  
4 could be granted. (Dkt. No. 8.) Based on Plaintiff's failure to correct the deficiency, the Court  
5 dismissed the complaint without prejudice and without leave to amend. (*Id.*)

6 In his motion for reconsideration, Plaintiff does not demonstrate that the Court committed  
7 manifest error, nor provide additional facts or legal authority that would allow the Court to  
8 change its decision. Plaintiff cites to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 60(b)(1) and asserts that the  
9 Court "failed to allow the Plaintiff the allotted time to respond as provided in the previous order  
10 and failed to fairly interpret the filing on Dec. 11, 2017." (Dkt. No. 10 at 1.) The Court disagrees.  
11 Plaintiff filed an amended complaint after the Court directed him to do so. The Court reviewed  
12 the amended complaint and, like the initial complaint, determined that Plaintiff failed to state a  
13 claim for which relief could be granted. Moreover, nothing in Plaintiff's motion for  
14 reconsideration, or in any pleading he has filed, demonstrates that he has a stated a claim upon  
15 which relief can be granted.

16 For those reasons, Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is DENIED. Since the Court has  
17 dismissed Plaintiff's complaint without prejudice and closed this case, Plaintiff can only bring  
18 his claims by filing a new complaint.

19 DATED this 3rd day of January 2018.

20 William M. McCool  
21 Clerk of Court

22 s/Tomas Hernandez  
23 Deputy Clerk